NONPROJECT DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

PROHONENT: Spokane City Council

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: Extension of the CC3 Overlay Zone, involving an area of 3.06 acres, comprising 11 lots in the North Foothills Employment Center in northeast Spokane. Much of the adjacent area is zoned CC1-EC (Centers and Corridors, Type 1, Employment Center). The overlay zone is intended to allow development within zoned areas to take advantage of the opportunities allowed in the Type 1 and 2 centers and corridors. (See SMC 17C.122.020.) No specific development proposal is being approved at this time.

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY: See also attached map:

1. 1001 E. North Foothills Dr.
2. 2820 N. Nevada St.
3. 2824 N. Nevada St.
4. 2828 N. Nevada St.
5. 2717 N. Perry St.
6. Unassigned address, parcel 35092.2604
7. 2731 N. Perry St.
8. 2803 N. Perry St.
9. 2807 N. Perry St.
10. 2827 N. Perry St.
11. 2833 N. Perry St.

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane, Planning Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

[ ] There is no comment period for this DNS.

[ ] This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

[ X ] This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for at least 14 days from the date of issuance (below). Comments regarding this DNS must be submitted no later than 5 p.m. on August 11, 2020 if they are intended to alter the DNS.

********************************************************************************************
Responsible Official: Louis Meuler

Position/Title: Planning Director Phone: (509) 625-6096

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

Date Issued: July 13, 2020 Signature: Louis Meuler

*******************************************************************************************
APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it has become final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane WA 99201. The appeal deadline is 5pm on August 11, 2020 (no action on this proposal will occur for at least 14 days from the date of the signing of this DNS). This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections, and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

****************************************************************************************
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Purpose of Checklist:
Environmental Checklist File
No. __________

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.
A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

   North Foothills Center CC-3 Zoning Overlay

2. Name of applicant:

   City of Spokane

3. Address and phone number of applicant or contact person:

   Kara Mowery, Neighborhood and Planning Services, 6th Floor, Spokane
   City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA 99201-3329; (509) 625-
   6146

4. Date checklist prepared:

   May 26, 2020

5. Agency requesting checklist:

   City of Spokane, Department of Neighborhood and Planning Services

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

   Spokane City Council passed a resolution (RES 2020-0029) directing staff to conduct this abbreviated subarea planning process on May 11, 2020. This process is anticipated to span four to five months, including a 30-day public comment period following SEPA determination, as well as a Spokane Plan Commission Hearing and approval from Spokane City Council. Completion of the process is anticipated for early fall 2020. This is a non-project action.

7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

   b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.

   Yes: the City of Spokane owns one parcel adjacent to this proposal, located on the southeast corner of the intersection of east North Foothills Drive and North Hamilton Street. The street address is 914 E. North Foothills Drive. The offices of the City of Spokane Water Department Administration are located on this property.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to his proposal.

None.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

None for this proposal. For future project actions, SEPA review may occur accordingly.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Final approval from Spokane City Council will be needed to adopt this abbreviated subarea plan.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

This proposal is to extend the CC3 Overlay Zone, involving an area of 3.06 acres, comprising 11 lots in the North Foothills Employment Center in northeast Spokane. Much of the adjacent area is zoned CC1-EC (Centers and Corridors, Type 1, Employment Center). The overlay zone is intended to allow development within zoned areas to take advantage of the opportunities allowed in the Type 1 and 2 centers and corridors. (See SMC 17C.122.020.) Both types promote pedestrian-oriented development.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

The proposal area is located near the intersection of East North Foothills Drive and North Nevada Street in northeast Spokane. The impacted area is bound by East Dalton Avenue to the north, North Perry Street to the east, East Buckeye Avenue to the south, and North Nevada Street/North Hamilton Street to the west. Within these bounds, there are 11 lots covering 3.06 acres which would be included in the CC3 Overlay Zone extension; the street addresses impacted are (see also attached map):
1. 1001 E. North Foothills Dr.
2. 2820 N. Nevada St.
3. 2824 N. Nevada St.
4. 2828 N. Nevada St.
5. 2717 N. Perry St.
6. Unassigned address, parcel 35092.2604
7. 2731 N. Perry St.
8. 2803 N. Perry St.
9. 2807 N. Perry St.
10. 2827 N. Perry St.
11. 2833 N. Perry St.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County’s ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.)

The proposal area lies with the Aquifer Sensitive Area, the General Sewer Service Area, and the City of Spokane.

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal
system discharging to surface or groundwater?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Unknown. Note: this is a non-project action.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

**TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT**

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

1. Earth

   a. General description of the site (circle one):
   
   flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountains, other:

   This is a non-project action, but GIS indicates that the proposal area is predominantly flat.

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

   This is a non-project action, but GIS indicates that there are no slopes greater than 15%. The USDA Web Soil Survey indicates that more than half of slopes are below 3%.

   c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

   The soil type within the proposal is uniformly Garrison Gravelly Loam. The USDA Web Soil Survey classifies it as Urban land–Opportunity, disturbed complex.

   d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

   None.

   e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:
Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

2. Air

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

3. Water

a. SURFACE:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes,
ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

None.

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. GROUND:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sanitary waste treatment facility. Describe the general size of the system, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of persons the system(s) are expected to serve.
Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pasture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crop or grain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet soil plants: cat tail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other types of vegetation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

5. Animals
a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site are known to be on or near the site:
   birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: ________________
   mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: ________________
   fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: ________________
   other: ________________

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

6. Energy and natural resources
a. What kinds or energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.
7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

   Currently located within the proposal site and surrounding North Foothills area are a fire station, approximately four small warehouses, and the playfields belonging to Gonzaga Preparatory School. The easterly portion is mostly a mix of single- and multi-family residential along with some light industrial/commercial. Within the broader area, Residential Single Family occupies most lots to the north, east, west, and
south. The proposal will allow more types of uses in the impacted areas, but will not disallow existing uses.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.
   
   No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.
   
   This is a non-project action; any potential changes to existing structures may be analyzed under future project action proposals. The four properties along North Nevada Street/East North Foothills Drive contain a fire house and two small warehouse-style buildings; one property is vacant. The seven properties along North Perry Street contain a mix of residential and light industrial buildings. Development in the area is characterized by low building heights, a mix of building ages-some dating back to the early 1900s- and a range of parcel sizes, with many small parcels remaining from when the area was originally platted.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?
   
   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
   
   The proposal area is currently zoned Light Industrial. Under this proposal, the current classification will continue, but allowed uses are expanded to all allowed within CC1 and CC2 zones, including commercial and residential uses. Other zoning types within the vicinity include Center and Corridor Type 1- Employment Center, Community Business, Office Retail, and two types of Residential.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
   
   The proposal area is designated on the Comprehensive Plan- Land Use Plan Map as Center and Corridor Core- Employment Center.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
   
   Not applicable.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area? If so, specify.
   
   Yes, the entire proposal area is within the critical aquifer recharge area (CARA).
i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

9. Housing

   a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action. However, if the CC3 Overlay is added to this area, residential development will be permitted.

   b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

10. Aesthetics

   a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.
b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action. The proposal would require that any site developers who choose to “opt-in” to CC1 or CC2 zoning would be subject to the development and design review standards of the relevant zone.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or
applicant, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

There are no known places or objects within or next to the proposal area that are listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

No known cultural features or areas of cultural importance within the proposal area.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The proposal area is within a developed part of the City of Spokane, covered by an existing street grid. The area is centered on East North Foothills Drive, an east-west minor arterial. The proposal would not alter any access to the existing street system, as it is a non-project action.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes, the geographic area of the proposal is currently served by Spokane Transit Authority routes 27 and 26/28.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable.
d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action. Project actions may include review of these facilities at time of permit.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

   (Note: to assist in review and if known indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak and Weekday (24 hours).)

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action. Project actions may include review of vehicle trip generation at the time of permit.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

15. Public services

   a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, healthcare, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.

   b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

   Not applicable.

16. Utilities

   a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:

   The proposal geographic area is fully served with urban utilities.
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

   Not applicable; this is a non-project action.
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: ____________________ Signature: ________________________________

**Please Print or Type:**

Proponent: ____________________ Address: ______________________________

Phone: ______________________ ______________________________

Person completing form (if different from proponent): ____________________ Address: ______________________________

Phone: ______________________ ______________________________

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: ________________________________

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

___ A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

___ B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

___ C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.
D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS
*(Do not use this sheet for project actions)*

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

   *This proposal is a zoning overlay, and would not itself cause an increase in discharges to water, emissions to air, the production and storage of toxic or hazardous substances, or noise.*

   Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:
   
   *Not applicable.*

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

   *This proposal is a zoning overlay, and will not directly affect plants and animals.*

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:

   *Not applicable.*

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

   *The proposal is a zoning overlay and will not deplete energy or natural resources.*

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

   *Not applicable.*
4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?

This proposal is a zoning overlay and will not directly affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection. At time of development proposals, these impacts would be analyzed under SEPA.

It is noted that the proposal area is partially located within a Special Well Head Capture Zone, and is near a Well Buffer Zone for two City of Spokane wells located south of East North Foothills Drive.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Not applicable.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposal has been developed to work in conjunction with the Spokane Comprehensive Plan - Land Use Plan Map. Development occurring as a results of changes recommended in the proposal will be subject to standards in the Comprehensive Plan, and implementing regulations set forth in the Spokane Municipal Code.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No additional measures are proposed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This proposal is for the extension of a zoning overlay and will not directly increase demands on transportation, public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

Not applicable.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The proposal does not conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: ___________________ Signature: ____________________________

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: ___________________ Address: _________________________

Phone: ______________________

Person completing form (if different from proponent): ______________________

Address: ______________________

Phone: ______________________

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: ________________________________

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

A. ___ there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

B. ___ probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

C. ___ there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.