REQUEST FOR COMMENTS
Agency and City Department Review
FILE NO. Z20-207COMP, 1015 W Montgomery Ave
Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map Amendment Proposal

DATE: May 19, 2021

TO: Interested Parties, City Departments and Agencies with Jurisdiction
(Distribution List Attached)

FROM: Kevin Freibott, Assistant Planner II
808 W Spokane Falls Boulevard
Spokane, WA  99201
kfreibott@spokanecity.org or call (509) 625-6184

SUBJECT: Proposed amendment of the Land Use Plan Map designation for one parcel totaling 0.16 acres from “Residential 4-10” to “General Commercial” and a concurrent change of zoning from “Residential Single-Family” to “Centers and Corridors Type 2—District Center.”

APPLICANT/AGENT: Dwight Hume, Land Use Solutions and Entitlement

ADDRESS: 1015 W Montgomery Ave

PARCELS: 35073.2505

LOCATION: SW of intersection of W Montgomery Ave and N Monroe St; SW 1/4, Section 7, Township 25N, Range 43E

COMMENT NEEDED BY 5 PM on June 2, 2021.

If additional information is required in order for your department or agency to comment on this proposal, please notify the Planning and Development Services Department as soon as possible so that the application processing can be suspended while the necessary information is being prepared. Under the procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected departments and agencies is for the following:

1) The determination of a complete application. If there are materials that the reviewing departments and agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the applicant;

2) Provides notice of application;

3) Concurrency Testing, please note one of the following:
   a) (   ) This application is subject to concurrency and agency is required to notify this department that applicant meets/fails currency; OR
   b) ( X ) This application is exempt from concurrency testing, but will use capacity of existing facilities.

The lack of comment including concurrency by any referral agency will be considered acceptance of this application as technically complete and meeting concurrency requirements.
Under the revised procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected Departments and Agencies is to provide notice of a pending application. **THIS WILL BE THE LAST NOTICE PROVIDED TO REFERRAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES UNLESS WARRANTED.** If there are materials that the reviewing Departments and Agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the Applicant. The lack of comment by any referral agency will be considered to be acceptance of this application as Technically Complete.

A map of the proposal is attached. Additional maps and materials can be found here:


**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW / SEPA:** The City of Spokane Planning and Development Services Department is the Lead Agency for this proposal; Louis Meuler, Director of Planning, is the responsible official. No determination has yet been made. This non-project proposal will be reviewed for compliance with SEPA Regulations, Spokane Municipal Code 17E.050. See attached SEPA Checklist.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1) Distribution List, Request for Comments
2) Reference Map, Land Use
3) SEPA Checklist
DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: 1015 W Montgomery
FILE No.: Z220-207COMP
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City Departments
- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Kris Becker
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff*
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller**
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot*
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Inga Note**
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- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller***
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- Planning Services, Attn: Louis Meuler
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Clint Harris**
- Street Operations, Attn: Greg Martin**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWVTP, Attn: Mike Coster**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

Washington State Agencies
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dave Andersen
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Leslie King - Habitat Program
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- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Larissa Pruitt
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Airway Heights, Attn: Heather Trautman
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: SEPA Review
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane School District, Attn: Phil Wright
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Tresidder
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies
- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)
EXHIBIT A: Existing Land Use Plan Map

EXHIBIT B: Proposed Land Use Plan Map

Parcel(s): 35073.2505
Approximate Area: 0.16 acres
Same Ownership: 0.69 acres

Drawn: 1/15/2021
THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT
The information shown on this map is compiled from various sources and is subject to constant revision. Information shown on this map should not be used to determine the location of facilities in relationship to property lines, section lines, streets, etc.
State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No. Z20-207COMP

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:
The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.
A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project:

2. Applicant: Ten Talents LLC C/O Mark Agee
   Address: P O Box 1199
   City/State/Zip: Veradale WA 99037 Phone 509-951-1033

3. Agent or Primary Contact: Land Use Solutions & Entitlement C/O Dwight Hume
   Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
   City/State/Zip: Spokane WA 99218 Phone: 509-435-3108

4. Location of Project:
   Address: W 1015 Montgomery Avenue
   Section: 07 Quarter: SE ¼ Township: 23 N Range: 45 E
   Tax Parcel Number(s): 35073.2505

5. Date checklist prepared: May 2021

6. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane, Washington

7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   Unknown

8. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:
   Unknown

   b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain:
   Yes, the adjoining parcels easterly and southerly of the subject commonly known as Parcels 35073.2506, 2507, And 2508.

9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:
   Unknown

10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:
No other applications are pending.

11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:
Annual Plan Amendment and zone change from R 4-10 to GC and a corresponding zone change from RSF to CC2 DC

12. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.
A 6840 sf lot with an existing 100+ year old residence to be demolished for future inclusion of subject parcel with applicants adjacent CC2 DC property of .68 acres.

13. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.
The subject property is W 1015 Montgomery near the SW comer of Montgomery and Monroe.

14. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)?
   The General Sewer Service Area?
   The Priority Sewer Service Area?
   The City of Spokane?
   ☒Yes ☐No
   ☒Yes ☐No
   ☒Yes ☐No
   ☒Yes ☐No

15. The following questions supplement Part A.
   a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
      (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).
      This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.
(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored? *This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

(3) What protective measures will be taken to ensure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems. *This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? *This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

*Unknown*

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts. *This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (check one):

- [x] Flat  [ ] Rolling  [ ] Hilly  [ ] Steep slopes  [ ] Mountainous

Other: *Answer*

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
As stated above, the site is FLAT and therefore there is no slope to address.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

*According to the SCS Soils Atlas printed in 1968, the soil classification is GgA Garson gravelly loam on slopes of 0-5%. While the SCS classification system has changed, the soils have not.*

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

*No*

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? *This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

*None, future development would comply with grading and stormwater standards.*

2. Air
a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Dust abatement during construction and paving of driving/parking surfaces after construction.

3. Water

a. SURFACE WATER:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Not Applicable

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No
(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. GROUNDWATER:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, the site is served with city water service.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.

(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No
d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patter impacts, if any. **This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.**

4. Plants
   a. Check the type(s) of vegetation found on the site:
      - Deciduous trees: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen
        Other:
      - Evergreen trees: ☐ fir ☐ cedar ☒ pine
        Other:
      - ☒ shrubs ☒ grass ☐ pasture ☐ crop or grain
        ☐ orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
        Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bullrush ☐ skunk cabbage
        Other:
      - Water plants: ☐ water lily ☐ eelgrass ☐ milfoil
        Other:
      - Any other types of vegetation:

   b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
      **This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.**

   c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site:
      Unknown

   d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
      **To be determined at project permitting**

   e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site:
      Unknown
5. Animals
   a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site: **Unknown**
      
      Birds:  □ hawk  □ heron  □ eagle  □ songbirds
      
      Other:
      
      Mammals:  □ deer  □ bear  □ elk  □ beaver
      
      Other:
      
      Fish:  □ bass  □ salmon  □ trout  □ herring  □ shellfish
      
      Other:
      
      Any other animals (not listed in above categories):

   b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.
      
      *This is an urban area with more than 100 years of land use. It is designated for intense urban development and intends to be used for both mixed use and intense residential for a walkable community setting. The only conceivable threatened or endangered animal species would be lost domestic pets amidst the Monroe Street Corridor traffic movement.*

   c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
      
      *No*

   d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
      
      *None*

   e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
      
      *Unknown*

6. Energy and natural resources
   a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
      
      *To be determined at time of project permit application*

   b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe:
      
      *To be determined at time of building permit application*
c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

*To be determined at time of project permitting*

7. Environmental health
a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

*No*

1. Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

*Unknown*

2. Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

*Unknown*

3. Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

4. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

5. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

b. NOISE:
(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Traffic noise nearby on Monroe should not affect this site.

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Construction activities and vehicle ingress and egress are likely to generate noise on an interim bases, if project development proceeds in the future.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Compliance with noise regulations.

8. Land and shoreline use
a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The subject site is a residence being used for multiple tenants. The proposed use is likely to be part of the adjacent CC-2DC for mixed use. The site is surrounded by residential to the west, north and south. A 33 unit apartment adjoins to the SE and vacant and coffee drive-thru are to the east and NE respectively.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or non-forest use?

The site has not been used for agricultural purposes.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

There are no agricultural uses within the vicinity or site.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

A 116 year old residential structure being used for multiple tenants

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?
Yes the existing 116 year old residence is not current to code and will be demolished, if this amendment is approved.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
RSF zone

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
Residential 4-10

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
N/A

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify.
No

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
To be determined at time of building permit review.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
The structure has been used for three tenants.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
To be determined at time of building permit review.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
Compliance with applicable development codes

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
N/A

9. Housing
a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
To be determined at time of building permit
b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.

*If approved, the existing 116 year old structure would be demolished.*

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

*To be determined at time of building permit review.*

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

*To be determined at building permit review and in compliance with CC-2 DC standards.*

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

*None*

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

*Compliance with applicable development standards.*

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

*This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.*

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

*No*

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

*None*

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

*Indirect exterior lighting if installed.*

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

*None*

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

*No*
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

The existing structure is 116 years old but not on the historic register.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

Unknown

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

None, due to existing land use activities surrounding the site.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

None

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Monroe Street corridor and Montgomery to the site.

b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.

STA Route 4 serves the subject property within 150' on Monroe ST.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

To be determined at time of building permit review.
d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

This is a non-project action and the above will be determined at the time of building permit review.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? (Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).

To be determined at time of building permit issuance.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe.

N/A

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

To be determined at time of building permit review.

15. Public services
a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

To be determined at time of building permit review.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

To be determined at time of building permit review.

16. Utilities
a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

☒ electricity  ☒ natural gas  ☒ water  ☒ refuse service
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

To be determined at time of building permit review.
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Non-significance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: May 9, 2021
Signature: [Signature]

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPOSENT:
Name: Ten Talents LLC Mark Agee
Address: P O Box 1199
Phone: (509) 951-1033
Veradale WA 99037

CHECKLIST PREPARER (If different from proponent):
Name: Dwight Hume
Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
Phone: (509) 435-3108
Spokane WA 99218

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Staff Name

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

☐ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.
D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS
(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed use is yet to be determined. If approved, it would allow mixed use of residential and/or retail. No impacts are foreseen from these uses as they exist in the vicinity.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

To be determined at time of building permit review.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

This is an urban environment, no impacts are foreseen.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

None

2. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

To be determined at time of building permit review.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Compliance with energy codes will be imposed during construction.

3. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?

None of the above mentioned environments occur on the subject site or in the vicinity. This is an area previously designated for intense urban development within the existing comprehensive plan as prepared by the City of Spokane Planning Department and approved by both the City of Spokane Planning Commission and City Council.
Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: 

None

4. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The subject site is not within Shorelines Management jurisdiction. However, it is located within an adopted Centers and Corridor area intended for intense mixed use development. If approved, the site must comply with applicable development regulations to assure land use compatibility.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

See above answer

5. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This is a 7000 sf inclusion to an existing CC-2 DC area. No impacts are foreseen from this inclusion.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None

6. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

As a designated intense area of mixed use, a project within the subject property developed in full compliance with applicable development regulations, will have no conflict with state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: May 9, 2021

Signature: [Signature]

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPONENT:
Name: Ten Talents C/O Mark Agee
Address: POB 1199
Phone: (509) 951-1033

Veradale WA 99037

CHECKLIST PREPARER (If different from proponent):
Name: Dwight Hume
Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
Phone: (509) 435-3108

Spokane WA 99218

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Staff Name

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

☐ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.