REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Agency and City Department Review

FILE NO. Z20-206COMP, 155 E Cleveland Ave

Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map Amendment Proposal

DATE: May 19, 2021

TO: Interested Parties, City Departments and Agencies with Jurisdiction
    (Distribution List Attached)

FROM: Kevin Freibott, Assistant Planner II
      808 W Spokane Falls Boulevard
      Spokane, WA  99201
      kfreibott@spokanecity.org or call (509) 625-6184

SUBJECT: Proposed amendment of the Land Use Plan Map designation for one parcel
totaling 0.78 acres from “Residential 4-10” to “Residential 15-30” and a
concurrent change of zoning from “Residential Single-Family” to “Residential
Multifamily.”

APPLICANT/AGENT: Lindsay Kornegay, Witherspoon Kelley

ADDRESS: 155 E Cleveland Ave

PARCELS: 35082.0919

LOCATION: NE Corner of N Mayfair St and E Cleveland Ave; NW 1/4, Section 8, Township 25N,
           Range 43E

COMMENT NEEDED BY 5 PM on June 2, 2021.

If additional information is required in order for your department or agency to comment on this proposal,
please notify the Planning and Development Services Department as soon as possible so that the
application processing can be suspended while the necessary information is being prepared. Under the
procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected departments and agencies is for the following:

1) The determination of a complete application. If there are materials that the reviewing
departments and agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to
the applicant;
2) Provides notice of application;
3) Concurrency Testing, please note one of the following:
   a) (    ) This application is subject to concurrency and agency is required to notify this
department that applicant meets/fails currency; OR
   b) ( X ) This application is exempt from concurrency testing, but will use capacity of existing
      facilities.

The lack of comment including concurrency by any referral agency will be considered acceptance of this
application as technically complete and meeting concurrency requirements.
Under the revised procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected Departments and Agencies is to provide notice of a pending application. **THIS WILL BE THE LAST NOTICE PROVIDED TO REFERRAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES UNLESS WARRANTED.** If there are materials that the reviewing Departments and Agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the Applicant. The lack of comment by any referral agency will be considered to be acceptance of this application as Technically Complete.

A map of the proposal is attached. Additional maps and materials can be found here:


**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW / SEPA:** The City of Spokane Planning and Development Services Department is the Lead Agency for this proposal; Louis Meuler, Director of Planning, is the responsible official. No determination has yet been made. This non-project proposal will be reviewed for compliance with SEPA Regulations, Spokane Municipal Code 17E.050. See attached SEPA Checklist.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1) Distribution List, Request for Comments
2) Reference Map, Land Use
3) SEPA Checklist
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PROJECT NAME: 155 E Cleveland Ave
FILE No.: Z20-206COMP
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City Departments
- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Kris Becker
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff*
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller**
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- Street Operations, Attn: Clint Harris**
- Street Operations, Attn: Greg Martin**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
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- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
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- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
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- Avista Utilities, Attn: LuAnn Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Larissa Pruitt
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Airway Heights, Attn: Heather Trautman
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: SEPA Review
- District81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Nade Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane School District, Attn: Phil Wright
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Lynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Tresidder
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies
- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)
EXHIBIT A: Existing Land Use Plan Map

EXHIBIT B: Proposed Land Use Plan Map

Parcel(s): 35082.0919
Approximate Area: 0.78 acres
State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No. Z20-206COMP

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:
The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.
A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project:

2. Applicant: David Tucker
   Address: 508 E Longfellow
   City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA 99207
   Phone: (509) 216-5188

3. Agent or Primary Contact: Witherspoon Kelley
   Address: 422 W Riverside Ave, Ste 1100
   City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA 99201
   Phone: (509) 624-5265

4. Location of Project:
   Address: 155 E Cleveland Avenue, Spokane, WA 99207
   Section: 8 Quarter: 25N Township: Range: 43 E.W.M
   Tax Parcel Number(s): 35082.0919

5. Date checklist prepared:
   5/7/2021

6. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane, Washington

7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   N/A at this time - TBD at a later date.

8. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:
   No

   b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain:
   No

9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:
   N/A

10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:
Not aware of any.

11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:
   Unknown

12. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.
   This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

13. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.
   155 E Cleveland Avenue, Spokane, WA 99207, Section 8 Township 25N Range 43 E.W.M.
   Morgans JM RES B3TO18 L19TO22 B6 & VAC STP S OF & ADJ L19-20

14. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)?
   Yes ☑ No ☐
   The General Sewer Service Area?
   Yes ☑ No ☐
   The Priority Sewer Service Area?
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   The City of Spokane?
   Yes ☑ No ☐

15. The following questions supplement Part A.
   a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
      (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.
(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No

(3) What protective measures will be taken to ensure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

N/A

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

N/A

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Unknown

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

This is a non-project action and any discharge will be determined at the time of future development approvals.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth
   a. General description of the site (check one):

   □ Flat  □ Rolling  □ Hilly  □ Steep slopes  □ Mountainous

   Other: X

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

   There is a significant grade to the south especially in the north and northeast areas of the site.

   c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of
long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

*The site is entirely Garrison Gravelly Loam.*

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

*No*

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

*This is not believed to be the case.*

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)?

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

2. Air

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

*None that we are aware of.*

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

*N/A*

3. Water

a. SURFACE WATER:
(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. GROUNDWATER:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.
N/A as this site is served by City sewer.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):
   (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.
   This is a non-project application and will be determined at the time of future development approval.

   (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
      No

   (3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.
      No

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any.
     None

4. Plants
   a. Check the type(s) of vegetation found on the site:
      Deciduous trees: X alder   □ maple   □ aspen
         Other: Minimal trees at location.
      Evergreen trees: □ fir     □ cedar   □ pine
         Other: None
      □ shrubs   □ grass   □ pasture   □ crop or grain
      □ orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
      Wet soil plants: □ cattail □ buttercup □ bullrush □ skunk cabbage
         Other: None
      Water plants: □ water lily □ eelgrass □ milfoil
         Other: None
      Any other types of vegetation:
      Wild flowers and weeds
b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?  
This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site:  
N/A

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:  
This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site:  
Unknown

5. Animals
a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

   Birds: □ hawk    □ heron    □ eagle    X songbirds
   
   Other: None
   
   Mammals: □ deer    □ bear    □ elk    □ beaver
   
   Other: None
   
   Fish: □ bass    □ salmon    □ trout    □ herring    □ shellfish
   
   Other: None

   Any other animals (not listed in above categories):  None

b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.  
None

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.  
No

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:  
None

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.  
None
6. Energy and natural resources
   a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

   This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe:

   There are no uses adjacent to this site currently generating solar power.

   c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

   This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

7. Environmental health
   a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

   None known

   (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

   None known

   (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

   None known

   (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

   This is a non-project application and will be determined at the time of future development approvals.

   (4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

   None known

   (5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

   None
b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

*This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.*

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

*Residential to the east, a slope and residential to the north, apartments to the west, and general commercial to the south.*

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

*No*

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

*There are no nearby or adjacent agricultural uses.*

c. Describe any structures on the site.

*There is an existing garage and shop onsite.*

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

*Yes, both buildings will be demolished.*

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
Single Family

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
   Residential 4-10

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
   N/A

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify.
   No

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
   This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
   0

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
   N/A

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
   Compatibility with existing and project land use plans will be determined during the City’s processing of this application.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
   There are no such lands in proximity to the site.

9. Housing
   a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.
      None
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
   N/A

10. Aesthetics
   a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
      The ridge to the north of the site would eliminate any possible impacts to nearby views from any future development of this site.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

11. Light and Glare
   a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

12. Recreation
   a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
      None are known

   b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
      No

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
      N/A
13. Historic and cultural preservation
   a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years
      old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or
      near the site? If so, specifically describe.
      
      No

   b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation?
      This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or
      areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted
      at the site to identify such resources.
      
      No

   c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on
      or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of
      archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.
      
      None are known

   d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to
      resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.
      
      N/A

14. Transportation
   a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe
      proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
      
      The site is accessed directly from E Cleveland Avenue, an existing city street.

   b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe.
      If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.
      
      Yes, one block from the Division bus line, route 25.

   c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal
      have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?
      
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle
      or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate
      whether public or private).
This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
   No

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? (Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).
   This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. N/A

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:
   N/A

15. Public services
   a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

   b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:
      This is a non-project application. This will be determined at a later date.

16. Utilities
   a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Other: N/A

   b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:
   * Electrical and natural gas are provided through Avista services and WSG are provided through City of spokane.*
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 5/9/2021

Signature: [Signature]

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPOSER:

Name: David Tucker
Phone: (509) 216-5188

Address: 508 E Longfellow Ave.
Spokane, WA 99207

CHECKLIST PREPARER (if different from proponent):

Name: [Name]
Address: [Address]
Phone: [Phone]

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Staff Name

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

☐ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.
D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS
(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?
   *Future redevelopment would be subject to City of Spokane requirements for control of emissions, discharge, and hazardous materials.*
   
   Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:
   *This would be determined at the time of future development.*

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?
   *There are no such natural resources located on this existing urban site.*
   
   Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:
   *None*

2. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?
   *Future redevelopment will be subject to City of Spokane requirements to ensure minimal depletion of energy or natural resources.*
   
   Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:
   *None*

3. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?
   *This is not an environmentally sensitive area.*
   
   Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:
   *None*
4. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

*This site is currently designated for Urban uses and this proposal would continue that development trend.*

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

*None*

5. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

*Future redevelopment will be subject to City of Spokane requirements and guidelines in order to mitigate any possible increase in demand on transportation, public services, and utilities.*

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

*None*

6. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

*This proposal does not conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.*
C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 5/9/2021 Signature: [Signature]

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPOSENENT:
Name: David Tucker Address: 508 E Longfellow Ave
Phone: (509) 216-5188 Spokane, WA 99207

CHECKLIST PREPARER (If different from proponent):
Name: Address:
Phone:

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Staff Name

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

☐ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.